MINUTES OF MEETING

Each person who decides to appeal any decision made by the Board with respect to any matter considered at the meeting is advised that the person may need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made, including the testimony and evidence upon which such appeal is to be based.

VENETIAN COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Venetian Community Development District was held on Monday, December 12, 2016 at 9:31 a.m. at the Venetian River Club, 502 Pesaro Drive, North Venice, Florida 34275.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Jerry Jasper
Harry Orenstein
David Lusty
Richard Bracco
Susie Lentile

Board Supervisor, Chairman
Board Supervisor, Vice Chair
Board Supervisor, Assistant Secretary
Board Supervisor, Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Belinda Blandon
Andy Cohen
Rick Schappacher
Karen Richard
Scott Kissell
Audience

District Manager, Rizzetta & Company, Inc.
District Counsel, Persson & Cohen, P.A.
District Engineer, Schappacher Engineering
Field Manager, VCDD
General Manager, River Club

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Call to Order

Ms. Blandon called the meeting to order and conducted the roll call. She stated for the record that prior to the onset of the meeting she administered Ms. Lentile’s Oath of Office.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Comment

Ms. Blandon opened the floor for public comment on matters the Board may be taking action on or that may otherwise concern the District.

Mr. Lusty advised he would like to make a motion to move up in the agenda the item related to fitness fees as he believes most audience members are present for that discussion and if they so choose, they can leave after that discussion.

On a Motion by Mr. Lusty, seconded by Ms. Lentile, with all in favor, the Board moved Business Item E, Discussion Regarding Fitness Fees, to the First Business Item, for the Venetian Community Development District.

Mr. Jasper reminded the audience that there are two available times for public comments; one at the beginning of the meeting and one at the end of Board discussion of any agenda item. He asked that anyone making a comment come forward to use the podium and microphone.
There were several audience members present. Ms. Blandon opened the floor for public comment. Several audience members spoke regarding the fitness fees. Many in attendance were in opposition of the existing fees and read statements expressing their views. There were also audience members present who were in favor of the fees and they expressed their views regarding the entire community having to pay for fitness classes although this is not a service used by everyone. Examples were brought forth of the fees charged by other communities as well. Others raised questions regarding the differences between an amenity and service. Comments were raised regarding the timing of when the decision/vote was held originally. There were recommendations regarding possible minimums and maximums being placed into effect for classes each week.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Mr. Schappacher advised that after contacting the City, the site plan for the shed does not have to be permitted for engineering design, though a building permit is required for the structure. He advised that the work on the golf cart paver cross walk on the outbound side of the gate will be done next week and the contractor will work on the section next to the guardhouse after the snowbird season is over. The straightening of the monument sign will be done after the first of the year. Mr. Schappacher advised that he inspected the ramp on Veneto and Padova and he presented a plan and photos. Mr. Schappacher recommended adding a plate to the ramp and he is obtaining quotes for that work. He advised that he pulled the data from the radar signs and will be reviewing it. Mr. Schappacher provided an update regarding the cameras, and reported that it is a different company based out of Canada, and they should be able to provide the radars after the first of the year. Mr. Schappacher reported that he was asked to remove from the report speeds that are 20 mph or less because they may be golf carts; in doing so with the sign on Veneto, the average speed is 32 mph. Ms. Lentile inquired regarding the circle outside of the River Club and a possible safety hazard. Mr. Schappacher advised he previously provided the Board with sight triangles, and agrees that trimming would help. Ms. Richard advised she has instructed the landscapers to trim that area. Mr. Jasper stated that once the Field Manager report would take place the Board should discuss the option of removing the grasses obstructing views.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Mr. Lusty stated that during public comment there was an implication stating that he sent out an email encouraging residents to attend the meeting. Mr. Lusty stated he did not send any communication and that he cannot control what others do with their private email accounts. Mr. Lusty then stated that when people purchased their homes they understood that the fees included the classes, also three of the Supervisors who voted for the fees to be charged were not elected they were appointed by WCI. He further advised the CDD is not a private company but is a public entity under Chapter 190 Florida Statutes. The CDD does run a deficit which is subsidized by the CDD fees. He advised that it was represented to him when he purchased his home that the fitness fees were included in his annual assessments. He stated and recalled when the River Club originally opened there were no representations of fees being charged and that he does not recall WCI ever charging for fitness fees. Mr. Lusty provided his opinion on the decision being wrong. Mr. Lusty read a prepared statement for the record. Mr. Lusty stated that class attendance has dropped since the new fee structure by over 60%. In addition Mr. Lusty summarized a report received prior to the meeting where he stated that 24 classes were cut since October 2015 and an additional 16 classes to be cut in January. Mr. Lusty expressed his views on the amenities and the argument of facilities versus instruction. He expressed his view on the Video Instruction
System recently purchased by the CDD and viewed it as a waste of money. Mr. Lusty stated that the fitness classes are not just about fitness but socialization and stressed the importance of the social network within the Community. Mr. Lusty stated he would support a charge of $ 4.00 for missed classes.

Mr. Lusty made a motion to rescind fitness fees as of Monday, December 19, 2016. Ms. Lentile seconded the motion.

Mr. Cohen advised he would like to clarify which fees Mr. Lusty would like to rescind. Mr. Lusty advised he would like to remove the $ 4.00 indoor fitness classes and indoor specialty classes at $ 6.00 charge per class. Mr. Lusty clarified the motion was specific to the indoor fitness classes and the hula hoop class outside.

Ms. Blandon asked if there was a discussion on the motion. Mr. Bracco advised he believes fees are warranted, those who use the service should pay for the service. He listened to the comments of those present and asked if he asked for a compromise somewhere along the lines of one class for free then pay, or buy five get one free, or by ten get two free.

Mr. Orenstein stated for the record he was in opposition of the fees due to timing of the decision and he advised his view is that there is a distinction between facilities and private services. Mr. Orenstein stated that the time the vote was taken one point of opposition he did express was the timing of the vote and he stated that further input should have been obtained from the community. Mr. Orenstein advised he cannot support paying for private tennis lessons and not paying for private fitness lessons. He advised he would not support rescinding the fees at this point, but he would be in support of a survey of the community. Mr. Orenstein stated he was open to reconsidering it but he would like to have a mandate of the community first to be sure they are representing a majority of the interests.

Ms. Lentile asked if Mr. Orenstein is asking for an e-mail or survey to go out to the community. Mr. Orenstein confirmed. Ms. Lentile suggested offering three classes for free anything above and beyond would be at a fee.

Mr. Lusty reminded the room they are paying for the salary of the tennis and fitness director out of the CDD fees. He stated he doesn’t think it’s right to make the change after 13 years. Mr. Lusty then stated he would like to call the question on the original motion.

Mr. Bracco stated that the entire community was not represented at this meeting and he suggested utilizing a survey to get input from the community. Mr. Lusty stated the District should not be splitting people into groups.

Mr. Cohen stated for the record, that if a different fee is set we would need to go through the rule making process which involves advertising as well as a public hearing. Mr. Cohen stated the fees can be removed without Rule Making but if changes were made to implement new fees then the District would have to go thru the process again.

Mr. Orenstein advised the divisiveness is bringing the issue back up although a vote was already taken. Discussion ensued. Mr. Orenstein stated they should obtain the feedback of the entire community.
Ms. Lentile asked Mr. Cohen to clarify if a compromise could be discussed. Mr. Cohen advised a compromise can be discussed, but if the Board would like to implement a new or different fee structure, a public hearing would need to be held and the Board would need to go through the rule making policy. He stated discussion could take place.

Mr. Jasper stated there has been a lot of misconceptions and talk regarding fees being charged previously for fitness classes. He advised the River Club opened in August 2004, and classes began in September 2004 when participants were charged $10.00 for fitness classes, and this information is based on River Club files. Mr. Jasper read from a form dated September 28, 2004 called “Yoga Pricing Options” based on one class per week; a monthly package was $30.00 per month. He shared an e-mail from a resident to the membership director, dated September 27, 2004; the resident was proposing a savings plan for members. Mr. Jasper advised that in 2005 the scheduled 4 classes per week was increased from 13 to 24, WCI offered 1 class on each site, free of charge, yoga and body sculpting classes were offered with a fee. In 2006 residents were unhappy with the limitations, WCI held a residents meeting and class numbers were changed. In 2007 residents were unhappy with the lack of space; class participation continued to increase. Participation was limited to 2 classes of each type and free of charge, but $5.00 for additional classes. In 2009 through 2012, some classes were offered at nominal fees. In 2013 is when there were no charges for fees. Regarding space concerns, when all classes were free the average participation for classes were 10.5 per class. The instructor receives $40 minimum per class, averaging 10 people per class, resulted in the $4.00 per class. Mr. Jasper advised regarding Virtual Fitness Classes, the Board asked for input from the Fitness Committee but the Committee could not meet due to lack of quorum. When the CDD meeting was held at the time the Board approved the Virtual Fitness program, there were two Fitness Committee members present who said providing Virtual Fitness was a good idea. Mr. Jasper advised the Virtual Fitness program was never intended to replace the fitness classes. Mr. Jasper stated if there was no further discussion then the board should proceed with a vote.

Ms. Lentile discussed the division among the Board and even if a Survey is done and the results are also divided there is still an issue. She suggested a compromise may be best; perhaps offering three classes for free and if you don’t show up you are charged, and anything beyond the three you are charged.

Mr. Jasper suggested that if fitness fees are to go away, then tennis clinics should also be free. If this is done, CDD fees would have to increase to cover those tennis clinics.

Mr. Cohen advised a motion is on the table and if there is no further Board discussion a vote should be called for.

Ms. Blandon stated there is a motion by Mr. Lusty, seconded by Ms. Lentile, rescind fitness fees, indoor fitness classes of $4.00/class, indoor specialty classes of $6.00/class, and the outdoor hula hoop class, as of Monday, December 19, 2016. She called for a vote on the motion. With two in favor and three opposed, the motion failed.

Mr. Lusty stated he would like to do what is feasible and offered to make a compromise to have three included and charge the folks who don’t show up he would support it.
Mr. Bracco advised they needed to obtain numbers. He advised he is in favor of a compromise, though he is not sure what that compromise would be. Mr. Bracco advised that he would like to hear input from the community and a survey may be the way to obtain community input. Mr. Lusty asked what the process would be to explore a compromise.

Mr. Cohen advised from a process standpoint, should the Board wish to move forward with a compromise of three classes for free and charging a cancellation fee, or however the Board works out the structure, then we would need to go through the rule making process and publish ads. The first ad is a notice of rule development and the second ad is a notice of public hearing. The notice of public hearing has to be published at least 28 days before the hearing and the notice of rule development has to be published before that. Mr. Cohen stated that we have to build in that twenty-nine (29) day process for advertising and then you have the public hearing and at that public hearing you would take input from the public and the Board would have the opportunity to make a decision based upon the input from the public at that hearing. The Board can go forward with the original plan, but you have to provide the opportunity for input.

Mr. Orenstein suggested there is a Fitness Committee whose role is to represent the people involved with that. He suggested getting input from the Committee so that there is representation from those who utilize the classes.

Mr. Lusty advised he has received phone calls from the Fitness Committee who advised they were thinking of quitting and are not attending the meetings because the Board did not listen to them.

Mr. Orenstein advised their input should be received.

Mr. Jasper asked Mr. Kissell to provide his fitness comments now in order to keep it all together.

Mr. Kissell provided an overview of the action plan for 2015/2016. Since October 2015, due to participation levels, 24 classes have been eliminated. In reviewing participation in some classes, in the beginning of January the plan is to eliminate an additional 16, some of those being Zumba and outside hula hoop, with zero to one or two people attending. Mr. Kissell advised a comparison is provided for 2015/2016; in October 2015 total participation was 1,130 compared to 2016 there were 580 participants, a difference of 550 participants. The number of unique users in 2015 was 131 versus 99 unique users in 2016. So although participation went down 48% to 49%, unique users only dropped about 24%. In 2015, 102 classes were offered in October, in 2016 there were 78 classes offered in October. Financially, the total cost in 2015 was $4,080 (October) and in 2016 was $3,120 (October); due to offsetting the costs in 2016 by collecting fees of $2,298.00, the total cost in 2016 was $822.00, a difference of about $3,200.00. The same formula holds true for November and the first week of December. Mr. Kissell discussed participation.

Mr. Bracco inquired regarding the public comment relating to a class where four people were signed up for the class but seven people attended. Mr. Sandomenico advised that is correct, there were four people signed up for the class and there were three drop ins who paid after the class. He confirmed after the class they did pay.

Mr. Lusty inquired regarding paying the instructor for classes with no attendance. Mr. Kissell confirmed the instructor is paid for classes with no attendance.
Mr. Kissell advised classes that were not popular last year won't be included in the schedule for next year, so those classes are being dropped. He further advised a Fitness Committee meeting is scheduled and they will bring this information to the Committee to review the instructed classes and the virtual classes.

**FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Discussion and Consideration of Community Surveys**

Mr. Lusty distributed information from Survey Monkey and provided an overview on what can be done with the online service. He provided a summary on the data and sophisticated information that can be obtained. The gold package cost is $25 per month or $300 per year, a platinum package which included unlimited questions and responses is $85 per month or $1,020 per year. Mr. Lusty wanted to show the Board the technology available and he wanted to discuss it. Discussion ensued.

Mr. Bracco inquired regarding turn around time for results after the deadline. Mr. Lusty advised immediately. Mr. Bracco inquired as to who makes up the questions for the survey. Mr. Lusty advised the Board can create their own questions or there are templates available. He advised there is also a tool to help design the questions. Mr. Bracco inquired regarding information to be provided. Mr. Lusty advised e-mail addresses would be needed to e-mail the link. He asked Ms. Blandon to confirm the District already utilizes a service for sending unlimited e-mail correspondence. Ms. Blandon confirmed. Mr. Bracco inquired regarding starting with the lower package and moving to the platinum package. Mr. Lusty advised the costs provided are for annual fees, and should the Board elect to go month to month the cost is higher by $1.00.

Mr. Jasper advised his concern is who may take the surveys. Mr. Lusty inquired regarding the River Club surveys. Mr. Kissell advised he is planning to send a survey out in February. Mr. Jasper advised he has concerns regarding surveys put out by the Board and the ramifications of the Board not proceeding with the results of the majority of responses. Mr. Lusty responded that a congressman sends surveys to his constituents regularly and results are available.

Mr. Orenstein advised he does want to hear from the audience, but he does advocate Mr. Jasper's point that it may not be something that is not appropriate for a governmental body. He advised the River Club survey may be a better vehicle for polling the community.

The Board opened the floor to public comments. Comments from the public were entertained. A statement was read by a member of the public regarding surveys the pitfalls, pros, cons and the overall feedback received via the surveys. A statement was read regarding leadership by survey and failing to move forward as elected officials in the best interest of the community. Another member of the public commented on the surveys and provided her overview on statements made and spending public funds not taking the feedback from the residents in consideration. The Board was reminded of their election to represent their constituents. Another member of the public thanked the Supervisors for their service. District Counsel was asked who would be able to take the surveys and how many households would be able to take the survey. Mr. Cohen replied that those are challenges the Board would have to take on and decide who they want to open the survey up to and whether it would be for both husband and wife or either or. Another member of the public commented on survey results and the making decisions based on vested interest for particular items. A member of the public commented that he supported surveys from the Riverclub and committees but not for the board to take on. Ms. Blandon asked if there was any further discussion on the surveys.
SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Discussion and Consideration of CDD Monthly Electronic Newsletters

Mr. Lusty stated he distributed a mock newsletter for the Board to review. He provided detail and information and provided it as an example of information that could be on the newsletter. Mr. Lusty stated that there was a lot of misinformation within the Community and having a newsletter would assist to clarify many misconceptions as well as provide information on meetings, considerations, and actions taken. Mr. Lusty summarized the sample he prepared. Mr. Lusty he advised he would be in favor of initiating a newsletter in January.

Mr. Bracco stated he believes communication is a great idea, and he believes most of the residents would find value in the newsletter.

Mr. Orenstein stated he believes in the newsletter and referenced the CA’s newsletter and content. He stated that the Board should consider the content. He further advised the CDD would need to be careful of what it is advocating on record. He stated the District would need to be careful what is included in the newsletter. He inquired as to the process for putting a newsletter together. Mr. Orenstein advised the Board would need to consider if it should be put out monthly or maybe quarterly or something more frequent perhaps by Mr. Kissell.

Ms. Lentile advised she likes the idea of the community having faster access to information on what is discussed on a more regular basis. She stated she would like to see this every time the Board meets or once a month.

Mr. Bracco inquired about including the meeting date information in the phone directory. Discussion ensued. Mr. Jasper stated this would need to be a discussion with the POA because it is not a CDD publication.

Mr. Jasper advised he believes in communication. He advised the Board needs to be careful with the information that is being published as a government entity. Mr. Jasper advised someone would need to be selected to write the newsletter, someone would need to review the newsletter and who would be responsible for the content and accuracy. He advised procedures would need to be set up, and used for every issue; does the Board review the newsletter before it goes out, etc.

Mr. Cohen advised that from a Sunshine point of view, whoever is garnering the information would need to be a staff person and would need to put it together because the Board can not go back and forth about the content of the newsletter.

Mr. Lusty advised there would be permanent features of the newsletter. Discussion ensued. Mr. Lusty stated he would be more than happy to contribute to the newsletter as a principle author and be involved in the review process. Mr. Lusty also suggested the Board rotate the duties.

Mr. Orenstein suggested holding a workshop to discuss the newsletter to allow for further discussion and input from the community. If a separate workshop is held it will be appropriate to work out the details of the newsletter.
Discussion ensued regarding utilizing “Parcels in Paradise” as the first form of communication adding information to the section provided for the CDD. The success of Parcels in Paradise was discussed. Mr. Lusty asked Mr. Cohen if one of the Supervisors sent out their own newsletter if they could. Mr. Cohen replied yes so as long as it was clarified that it was being sent by the individual and not the Board.

Mr. Jasper suggested providing information on upcoming meetings and workshops be provided in Parcels in Paradise. Mr. Cohen gave an example of another community where signs are placed within the community providing notice of the meetings also directing people to the website.

Mr. Lusty stated he would like to see a broadcast of the meeting agenda sent to all residents. The Board directed staff to send out the agenda via email by Wednesday prior to the upcoming Monday meeting. Mr. Lusty asked what the next action steps would be. Mr. Orenstein suggested taking on this item separately in a workshop. After discussion it was suggested that the Board will hold a workshop on the same date of the traffic enforcement workshop on January 24, 2016 and provide a separate notice as required at 3:00 pm. Mr. Lusty stated that he was mistaken and wrong for a comment made previously regarding previous members not being elected and he apologized.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS  Consideration and Assignment of Supervisor Community Responsibilities

Mr. Orenstein asked Mr. Jasper to go over the categories briefly. Mr. Jasper stated the categories were privacy officers and gates, hardscapes (roads, sidewalks, monuments) lakes and wetlands, landscape and irrigation. Mr. Jasper stated that he would like to continue with landscape and irrigation due to the ongoing negotiations currently in progress with WCI. Ms. Blandon asked if there was a consensus for Mr. Jasper to continue with the landscape and irrigation. Mr. Orenstein stated he would like to take on the Privacy and Officers and Gates. Mr. Lusty stated he would like to take Hardscapes. Ms. Lentile volunteered to take on Lakes and Wetlands. Mr. Jasper asked Ms. Lentile if she would like to take on the newsletter. After discussion it was agreed Mr. Lusty will take on the newsletter and Mr. Bracco would take on hardscapes. Mr. Cohen advised Ms. Lentile that Jim Shea was very much involved in the lakes and wetlands and informed her she was free to contact him for assistance and updates.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS  Update on Traffic Enforcement Workshop

Mike Craychee stated he received a response from FHP and they would not be present at the workshop to be held later in the day. Mr. Craychee stated that the FHP Officer offered if there were questions that could not be answered they could be emailed and they would gladly provide a response. Mr. Orenstein asked if items completed in the past could be added to the presentation. Mr. Jasper stated he has had additional discussions with the City and they confirmed they would have to have two agreements an inter-local agreement and a second one with the Fraternal Order of Police because there would be off duty police officers onsite. Mr. Jasper stated that prior to the next workshop these agreements would be provided to the District for review. Mr. Jasper stated the City Council is adamant that the District consider the City of Venice. Mr. Bracco asked Mr. Cohen if a resident or non resident were stopped and they claimed profiling and it were to go to court would the District need an insurance policy for coverage. Mr. Cohen replied that the District will need to ensure that the proper agreements along with insurance requirements are in place.
Mr. Orenstein asked for Mr. Cohen to clarify his statement. Mr. Cohen clarified that if the Officers were directed to do something specifically there could be a claim. Questions from the public were entertained.

**NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

Ms. Blandon presented the minutes of the Board of Supervisors’ meeting held on November 28, 2016. Mr. Cohen reviewed his changes to the minutes to various items and stated them for the record. Mr. Orenstein stated his changes to page 2 to adding of WCI after mention of Rick Barber in the last sentence. He then added on the 5th order of business on page 4 the mention of the Sheriff to be changed to Sarasota County Sheriff. He then added Venice City Manager after Mr. Lavellee. Sixth order of business was changed by District Counsel. Page 8 a change was made to the name of Carol Bishko. Mr. Lusty requested a change on page one, the second order of business, Ms. Lentile’s name was spelled incorrectly. He also requested a little more clarity on Public Comment. Mr. Lusty also requested a correction to the minutes on page 3 second paragraph to be inserted that Mr. Lusty made a motion to delay the designation of the officers until the 12th of December because newly elected Supervisor Ms. Lentile was not present. Mr. Lusty asked for the 12th order of business the word benefit to be changed to savings. He also asked for the word positive to be added in the same order of business. On page 4 first paragraph above six order of business says ensured it should say ensued. Mr. Lusty suggested clarity to the sentence regarding the speed limit to state to the community the speed limit. Mr. Jasper asked to add Castello to the section regarding the monument sign to be straightened. Mr. Jasper added clarity on the last sentence 3rd item page 3 not to happen during season.

On a Motion by Mr. Orenstein, seconded by Mr. Bracco, with all in favor, the Board Approved the Minutes of the Board of Supervisors’ Meeting held on November 28, 2016, as amended, for the Venetian Community Development District.

**TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

Ms. Blandon presented the minutes of the Pool and Fitness Committee meeting held on June 20, 2016 and asked if there were any questions. There were none.

On a Motion by Mr. Bracco, seconded by Mr. Lusty, with all in favor, the Board Accepted the Minutes of the Pool and Facilities Committee Meeting held on June 20, 2016, for the Venetian Community Development District.

**ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**  

A. District Counsel

Mr. Cohen reported that he wanted to follow up on whether there was an interest in having a workshop on Sunshine Laws and a refresher. The Supervisors stated they were in agreement. District Counsel agreed to prepare a handout so that the
workshop could be held at the onset of the next meeting.

Mr. Cohen provided an update on insurance and insurance limits. Mr. Cohen stated he was copied on correspondence from the City and stated the limits required by the City including deductibles.

Mr. Cohen asked District Management if a proposal was received from the insurance agent. Ms. Blandon replied we were waiting on an estimate. Mr. Lusty interjected and explained his review of the District’s insurance limits and spoke regarding his personal experience and policy limits. He strongly asked the Board to consider increasing the limit to $5,000,000.00. Mr. Cohen did state the District has sovereign immunity protection but there are attorneys that can be creative. Mr. Orenstein asked for the costs involved. Mr. Jasper stated his concerns are not the costs but what is being asked of existing vendors. Mr. Jasper provided his comments on why the City having a Risk Manager would recommend the current coverage limits for government entities. Mr. Cohen was directed to follow up with the City on the coverage requirements and those of their vendors.

B. River Club

Mr. Kissell advised the kitchen air handler is down and they are obtaining estimates due to its condition for replacement. Mr. Orenstein asked for reserves to be checked for this item.

Mr. Kissell provided an update on the shed. Mr. Orenstein asked where we are with the process and Mr. Kissell provided an update. Mr. Jasper stated his concerns regarding the aesthetic look and requirements of the PUD.

Mr. Kissell stated he received preliminary financial numbers for November.

Mr. Lusty asked Mr. Kissell regarding the liquor license information be followed up and provided before the next meeting.

Mr. Lusty stated he has not received the Vision Golf National Buying and Discount Programs. He stated this was his 2nd request for the information.

Mr. Lusty stated he also requested a tangible personal property list and did not receive it as well and this was his 2nd request for information.

Mr. Lusty stated he noticed that the take out menu was posted at the tennis court but there was no notice or instruction of the number to call and the times the services are available and he requested this information be posted as well.

Mr. Lusty asked of the 60 or so events taking place how many are new outside events and how many are repeat events. Mr. Kissell replied he would have to obtain this information.

Mr. Lusty stated for the record he did have a meeting with Mr. Kissell and thanked him for taking the time to meet.
C. Field Manager

Ms. Richard distributed a proposal from Invision to switch the existing camera system to an NVR system with four terabytes of recording space. Mike Craychee explained that a new computer was installed for the gate entry system including new software. He provided an overview of the software and the encryption. This resulted in an issue of less recording time available. It will be a separate system with its own monitor and separate from the gate operation computer. A question was raised by Mr. Orenstein regarding whether four terabytes was enough. Mr. Lusty asked if anti virus software was installed in the system. Discussion ensued regarding anti virus software. Mr. Jasper asked why this was being brought to the Board when it is a budgeted item and a replacement. Mr. Craychee replied that is was being discussed for informational purposes.

Ms. Richard provided an update on the pending estimate for the aerators for pond number 23. Ms. Richard informed the Board that she received a revised proposal utilizing electricity for the aerators. A transformer on Palazzo was identified and FPL verified that the transformer was full. FPL informed her that there is a location one lot over where the power for the transformer was pulled from and once its location is verified FPL will provide a cost estimate.

Ms. Richard also reported that FPL will be reviewing the leaning light post. Ms. Richard reported that the vendor for the door panels for the lift stations is in the process of obtaining permitting.

Ms. Richard then spoke in reference to the Welcome Center and proposed certain office hours for walk in traffic and everything else by appointment. Ms. Richard explained the constant interruptions. She reported the POA Manager did bring this issue to the POA Board for consideration. The proposed hours for walk in traffic for consideration were Tuesday and Wednesday mornings, and Thursday afternoon. Ms. Richard requested permission from the Board to implement these new hours. Mr. Orenstein asked when the traffic was high. Mr. Lusty explained he has mixed feelings regarding the hours. Mr. Lusty expressed his reservations regarding the reduction of hours. Mr. Lusty recommended educating the constituents regarding the difference between the CDD and POA. Ms. Richard explained that the residents are always encouraged to go to the website first but this is not always the case. Ms. Lentile asked if Parcels could be used for communication to relay messages such as the difference between the POA and CDD. Mr. Lusty asked what the majority of the calls received are for? Ms. Richard gave a few examples.

Mr. Lusty asked regarding the Sunrise Landscaping Contract and the trimming as per contract that has not been done. Ms. Richard replied that she informed Sunrise of the areas to be trimmed and she will ensure that the trimming is conducted.

Mr. Lusty presented photos of the first three crosswalks along Veneto Boulevard. The photos presented show that the landscaping needs to be trimmed back to prevent obstruction of views for safety. Ms. Richard explained this was done on Padova and gave examples of what can be done. Mr. Jasper suggested removing the landscaping in these areas and possibly trimming them down.
Mr. Jasper asked the Board what their feedback was on the office hours. Mr. Bracco explained he understood the dilemma but he did not have enough information on it. Mr. Lusty recommended going along with the hours as suggested and then adding hours for CDD items only. After discussion the Board agreed to give these hours a try and revisit this issue if necessary. An email communication will be drafted and sent to the community.

D. District Manager
Ms. Blandon advised the next regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors is scheduled for Monday, January 9, 2017 at 9:30 am.

**TWELFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

Ms. Lentile stated she went to the tennis committee and that there are three people that she has spoken to that are to be appointed. Ms. Blandon stated that the committee appointments will take place at the January meeting.

Mr. Orenstein left the meeting in progress.

Mr. Cohen stated that if the Board was ready to move forward with the appointments they could be done at this meeting.

Ms. Blandon provided the dates of the upcoming committee meetings. After discussion the Board agreed to move forward with the committee appointments in the January 9th Meeting. The existing scheduled meetings will take place.

Mr. Jasper then reported that as per Mr. Bracco’s request he has spoken to Roger Effron and they are happy to schedule a community alligator seminar.

Mr. Lusty asked in reference to the Victory Security billing issue where the invoices were inverted and the District was charged erroneously. Ms. Richard stated the issue was a result of the District’s clerical error because the hours were taken from a prior POA contract. Ms. Richard explained that when the addendum was prepared the discussion with the vendor was going forward and not retroactively. Mr. Lusty expressed his disagreement with this because if the District was overbilled the contractor should provide a reimbursement.

Mr. Lusty asked regarding the notes receivable on the balance sheet and explained the items in this category. He explained that it was clarified to him that this should be a due from the Enterprise fund due to the CDD. Ms. Blandon stated that this item should have been corrected but she will follow up with accounting on this issue.

Mr. Lusty then explained the District currently has $1,552,000.00 of assets in an open ended non insured money market fund and distributed information for review. He explained the expense ratio and yield. He presented the best accounts available and percentage that could be utilized and compared the existing interest versus what could be obtained. Mr. Lusty stated that he would like to make a motion for the Board to empower him to move these funds into higher interest bearing accounts subject to applicable laws and if allowed legally. Mr. Lusty suggested moving the funds to FDIC insured accounts at higher interest bearing rate accounts. The motion was seconded by Susie Lentile. All Supervisors present were in favor.
On a Motion by Mr. Lusty, seconded by Ms. Lentile, with all in favor, the Board appointed Mr. Lusty as the Liaison of the Board, to move the District’s funds into higher interest bearing and FDIC insured accounts subject to applicable laws, for the Venetian Community Development District.

Mr. Lusty asked regarding the leaning palm tree on Veneto Boulevard and whether it can be straightened. The Board instructed Ms. Richard to address the issue so that it can be straightened or replanted somewhere else.

**THIRTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Adjournment**

On a Motion by Mr. Jasper, seconded by Mr. Lusty, with all in favor, the Board adjourned the meeting at 1:56 p.m., for the Venetian Community Development District.

[Signatures]

Secretary / Assistant Secretary

Chairman / Vice Chairman